arXiv:1509.06532v2 [math.PR] 20 Jul 2016

On the Euler-Maruyama approximation for one-dimensional stochastic differential equations with irregular coefficients

Hoang-Long Ngo* and Dai Taguchi†

Abstract

We study the strong rates of the Euler-Maruyama approximation for one dimensional stochastic differential equations whose drift coefficient may be neither continuous nor one-sided Lipschitz and diffusion coefficient is Hölder continuous. Especially, we show that the strong rate of the Euler-Maruyama approximation is 1/2 for a large class of equations whose drift is not continuous. We also provide the strong rate for equations whose drift is Hölder continuous and diffusion is nonconstant.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H35; 41A25; 60C30

Keywords: Euler-Maruyama approximation · Strong rate of convergence · Stochastic differential equation · Irregular coefficients

1 Introduction

Let us consider the one-dimensional stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)dW_s,\ x_0 \in \mathbb{R},\ t \in [0,T], \tag{1}$$

where $W := (W_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ satisfying the usual conditions.

It is well-known that the solution to the SDE (1) is related to the Kolmogorov equation. Stroock and Varadhan [26] prove that if the drift coefficient b is bounded, measurable and the diffusion coefficient σ is bounded, uniformly elliptic and continuous, then a solution to

---

*Hanoi National University of Education, 136 Xuan Thuy - Cau Giay - Hanoi - Vietnam, email: ngolong@hnue.edu.vn

†Ritsumeikan University, 1-1-1 Nojihigashi, Kusatsu, Shiga, 525-8577, Japan, email: dai.taguchi.dai@gmail.com

the Kolmogorov equation $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+b\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\sigma^2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial^2 x}=0$ with the boundary condition $u(T,x)=f(x)$, in the class $W_p^{1,2}$ with $p>3/2$ admits the stochastic representation (see also Theorem 1 in [30]). Zvonkin [30] studied the existence and uniqueness of solution of the SDE (1) under very weak regularity assumption of coefficients b and σ. In particular, he showed that if b is bounded, measurable and σ is bounded, uniformly elliptic and $(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})$-Hölder continuous for some $\alpha\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ then equation (1) has a unique strong solution (see also Veretennikov [27]).

Since the solution of (1) is rarely analytically tractable, one often approximates $X=(X_t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ by using the Euler-Maruyama scheme given by

$$X_t^{(n)}=x_0+\int_0^t b\left(X^{(n)}_{\eta_n(s)}\right)ds+\int_0^t \sigma\left(X^{(n)}_{\eta_n(s)}\right)dW_s,\ t\in[0,T],$$

where $\eta_n(s)=kT/n=:t_k^{(n)}$ if $s\in[kT/n,(k+1)T/n)$.

Both the strong and weak rates of convergence of $X^{(n)}$ to X are known when b and σ satisfy some Lipschitz continuous condition (see [18, 3]). It has been shown recently that there exist SDEs with smooth and bounded coefficients such that neither the EM approximation nor any approximation method based on finitely many observations of the driving Brownian motion can converge in absolute mean to the solution faster than any given speed of convergence (see [14, 17]). However, there are few results when b is irregular. When b is not continuous, most of the works so far need the assumption that b is one-sided Lipschitz to establish the rate of convergence (see [11, 24]). Note that this one-sided Lipschitz condition also plays an indispensable role to establish the rate of convergence for SDEs with super-linear growth coefficients (see [16], [8]). Outside the framework of one-sided Lipschitz, Halidias and Kloeden [15] showed that $X^{(n)}$ converges to X in L^2-norm if b is increasing, continuous from bellow and σ is Lipschitz continuous. Since their proof uses upper and lower solutions of the SDEs and the Euler-Maruyama approximation, it is hardly possible to get any rate of convergence by using their method. Recently, Leobacher and Szölgyenyi [21] studied the SDE (1) under the assumption that b is piecewise Lipschitz, has a finite number of discontinuous points and σ is Lipschitz and uniformly elliptic. They introduced a clever way to transfer equation (1) to an equivalent equation whose coefficients are Lipschitz continuous and therefore the new equation can be approximated by an Euler-Maruyama scheme with the standard rate of convergence 1/2.

The strong rates of the Euler-Maruyama approximation for SDEs with Hölder continuous diffusion coefficient were first established in [29, 6, 13]. The main idea in [13] is to use the so-called Yamada-Watanabe approximation method to estimate the error. This remarkable idea has been developed in [4, 24, 23] to obtain strong rate under various assumptions on coefficients b and σ. It is undoubted that Yamada-Watanabe approximation is still a key tool to deal with the Hölder continuity of σ in this paper.

When b is only Hölder continuous of order $\beta \in (0,1]$ and σ is a non-zero constant, Menoukeu-Pamen and Taguchi [22] have used a PDE technique to show very recently that the strong rate of the Euler-Maruyama approximation is of order $\beta/2$.

In this paper, we will study the rates of strong convergence of the Euler-Maruyama approximation for SDE (1) when the coefficients b and σ may have a very low regularity. In particular, we consider the case that σ is $(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$-Hölder continuous and $b = b_A + b_H$ where b_A is, roughly speaking, a function of bounded variation on compact sets and b_H is Hölder continuous of some order $\beta \in (0,1]$. Note that b is not necessary continuous or one-sided Lipschitz function. By introducing a new approach based on the removal drift transformation, we are able to establish the rates of convergence of $X^{(n)}$ to X in L^1, L^1-sup and L^p-sup norm ($p \geq 2$). Our finding partly improves upon recent results in [22, 24, 13] as well as the well-known ones in [15, 11] in the one-dimensional setting (see Remark 2.10). It worth noting that SDEs with discontinuous drift appear in many applications such as mathematical finance, optimal control and interacting infinite particle systems [1, 5, 9, 7, 20].

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In the next section we introduce some notations and assumption for our framework together with the main results. All proof are deferred to Section 3.

2 Main results

2.1 Notations

For bounded measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}$, we define $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|$. We denote by $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with semi-norm $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|dx$. For $\beta \in (0,1]$, we denote by H^β the set of all functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which are bounded and β-Hölder continuous, i.e., a function $f \in H^\beta$ iff

$$\|f\|_\beta := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}, x\neq y} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^\beta} < \infty.$$

We recall the following class of functions $\mathcal{A}$ which is first introduced in [19] (see also [24]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of all bounded measurable functions $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that there exist a finite positive constant $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ and a sequence of functions $(\zeta_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(i): & \zeta_N \to \zeta \text{ in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), \text{ as } N \to \infty, \\ \mathcal{A}(ii): & \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} |\zeta_N(x)| + |\zeta(x)| \leq K_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ \mathcal{A}(iii): & \sup\limits_{N\in\mathbb{N}, a\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\zeta_N'(x+a)| e^{-|x|^2/u} dx \leq (1+\sqrt{u}) K_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{for all } u > 0. \end{cases}$$

We denote $\|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ the smallest constant $K_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfying the above conditions. The class $\mathcal{A}$ will be used to model a part of the drift coefficient b.

It is easy to verify that the class $\mathcal{A}$ contains all $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ functions which has the first order derivative of polynomially bounded. Furthermore, the class $\mathcal{A}$ contains also some non-smooth functions of the type $\zeta(x) = (x-a)^+ \wedge 1$ or $\zeta(x) = \mathbf{1}_{b<x<c}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b, c \in [-\infty, \infty]$.

The following propositions shows that this class is quite large.

Proposition 2.1. *(i) If $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\xi\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha\xi + \beta\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$. (ii) If $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable and monotone function, then $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$.*

The proofs of Proposition 2.1 and further properties of class $\mathcal{A}$ were presented in [19] and [24]. It is worth noting that if a function $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$ has a compact support then it follows from Theorem 3.9 [2] that ζ is of bounded variation. Therefore class $\mathcal{A}$ does not contain class of Hölder continuous function H^β for any $\beta \in (0,1)$.

2.2 Main results

We need the following assumptions on the coefficients b and σ.

Assumption 2.2. *We assume that the coefficients b and σ are measurable functions and satisfy the following conditions:*

(i) $b = b_A + b_H \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ where $b_A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b_H \in H^\beta$ with $\beta \in (0,1]$.

(ii) σ is uniform elliptic and globally bounded and globally Hölder continuous: there exist real numbers $K_\sigma > 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{K_\sigma^2} \leq \sigma^2(x) \leq K_\sigma^2 \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq K_\sigma |x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We obtain the following results on the rate of the Euler-Maruyama approximation in both L^1-norm and L^1-sup norm.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold. Then there exists a constant C^* which depends on $K_\sigma, \|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|b_H\|_\beta, \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, T, x_0, \alpha$ and β such that*

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{C^*}{\log n} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{C^*}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2} \wedge \alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the set of all stopping times $\tau \leq T$. Moreover, for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|^\gamma] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{2-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{C^*}{\log n}\Big)^\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{2-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{C^*}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}\Big)^\gamma & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2]. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The assumption that $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is in fact quite restricted since it excludes some simple function such as $b(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x\geq 0}$. Fortunately, removing that assumption does not affect much on the strong rate of convergence as shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that the drift coefficient $b = b_A + b_H$, where $b_A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b_H \in H^\beta$ with $\beta \in (0,1]$. Let Assumption 2.2 (ii) hold. Then there exists a constant C^* which depends on $K_\sigma, \|b_A\|_\mathcal{A}, \|b_H\|_\beta, T, x_0, \alpha$ and β such that*

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{C^* e^{C^*\sqrt{\log(\log n)}}}{\log n} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{C^* e^{C^*\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2]. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Moreover, for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|^\gamma] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{2-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{C^* e^{C^*\sqrt{\log(\log n)}}}{\log n}\Big)^\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{2-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\Big(\dfrac{C^* e^{C^*\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}\Big)^\gamma & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2]. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Remark 2.5. Note that the function $x \mapsto e^{\sqrt{\log x}}$ increases faster than any polynomial function of $\log x$ but slower than any polynomial of x, i.e, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k > 0$,

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\frac{e^{\sqrt{\log x}}}{x^\varepsilon} = \lim_{x\to+\infty}\frac{(\log x)^k}{e^{\sqrt{\log x}}} = 0.$$

The estimates (3) and (5) become worst when $\gamma \uparrow 1$. Fortunately, we have the following bounds for the L^1-sup norm.

Theorem 2.6. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold. Then there exists a constant C which depends on $K_\sigma, \|b_A\|_\mathcal{A}, \|b_H\|_\beta, \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, T, x_0, \alpha$ and β such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{C}{\sqrt{\log n}} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{C}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2]. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.7. *Under the assumption of Theorem 2.4, there exists a constant C which depends on $K_\sigma, \|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|b_H\|_\beta, T, x_0, \alpha$ and β such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{Ce^{C\sqrt{\log(\log n)}}}{\sqrt{\log n}} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{Ce^{C\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2]. \end{cases}$$

The following L^p-norm estimation is useful to construct a Multi-level Monte Carlo simulation for X (see [10]).

Theorem 2.8. *Let Assumption 2.2 hold. For any $p \geq 2$, then there exists a constant C which may depend on $K_\sigma, \|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|b_H\|_\beta, \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, T, x_0, \alpha, \beta$ and p such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|^p] \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{C}{\log n} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{C}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2), \\ \dfrac{C}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}}} & \text{if } \alpha = 1/2. \end{cases}$$

If we suppose that σ is Lipschitz continuous and $b \in H^\beta$, i.e. $b_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv 0$, then Theorem 2.8 implies the following result which improves the one in [22] for SDEs with non-constant diffusion.

Corollary 2.9. *Assume that $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \bigcap H^\beta$ for some $\beta \in (0,1]$ and the diffusion coefficient σ is Lipschitz continuous and uniformly elliptic. Then for any $p \geq 1$, there exists positive constant C which depends on $K_\sigma, \|b\|_\beta, \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, T, x_0, \alpha, \beta$ and p such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|^p] \leq \frac{C}{n^{p\beta/2}}.$$

Remark 2.10.

1. Gyöngy [11] studied the rate of convergence in the almost sure sense of the Euler-Maruyama approximation for SDEs with irregular drift. He showed that the rate is 1/4 when σ is locally Lipschitz and b satisfies an one-sided Lipschitz type condition.

2. In the case that $\beta = 1$, the results of Theorems 2.3, 2.6 and 2.8 were proven in [24] under a further assumption that b is one-sided Lipschitz. In this paper, thanks to the method of removal drift we are able to get rid of this assumption. Note that if σ is Lipschitz function, the strong rate of the Euler-Maruyama approximation mentioned in Theorem 2.3 is 1/2.

3 Proof of the main Theorems

3.1 Some auxiliary estimates

The following lemma is a key estimation for proving the main theorems. The proof is quite similar to the one of Lemma 3.5 in [24] and will be omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that b is bounded, measurable and σ satisfies Assumption 2.2 (ii). Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for any $q \geq 1$, there exists $C \equiv C(T, K_\sigma, \|\zeta\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \|b\|_\infty, x_0, q)$ such that*

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[|\zeta(X_s^{(n)}) - \zeta(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})|^q] ds \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{6}$$

Remark 3.2. Note that the estimate (6) is tight (see, Remark 3.6 in [24]).

The following estimation is standard (see Remark 1.2 in [13]).

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that b and σ are bounded, measurable. Then for any $q > 0$, there exist $C \equiv C(q, \|b\|_\infty, \|\sigma\|_\infty, T)$ such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(t)}^{(n)}|^q] \leq \frac{C}{n^{q/2}}.$$

3.2 The method of removal of drift

The following removal of drift transformation plays a crucial role in our argument. Under the assumption that $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and σ^2 is uniformly elliptic, the following functions

$$f(x) := -2 \int_0^x \frac{b(y)}{\sigma^2(y)} dy \text{ and } \varphi(x) := \int_0^x \exp(f(y)) dy.$$

are well-defined. Moreover, since $\varphi'' = -\frac{2b\varphi'}{\sigma^2}$, φ satisfies the following PDE

$$b(x)\varphi'(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)\varphi''(x) = 0.$$

Define $Y_t := \varphi(X_t)$ and $Y_t^{(n)} := \varphi(X_t^{(n)})$. Then by Itô's formula we have

$$Y_t = \varphi(x_0) + \int_0^t \varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) dW_s$$

and

$$Y_t^{(n)} = \varphi(x_0) + \int_0^t \left(\varphi'(X_s^{(n)}) b(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(X_s^{(n)})\sigma^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right) ds + \int_0^t \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) dW_s.$$

We will make repeated use of the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that* $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and Assumption 2.2 (ii) hold. Let* $C_0 = e^{2K_\sigma^2 \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}$.

(i) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq \varphi'(x) = \exp(f(x)) \leq C_0.$$

(ii) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\varphi''(x)| \leq 2K_\sigma^2 \|b\|_\infty \|\varphi'\|_\infty \leq 2\|b\|_\infty K_\sigma^2 C_0.$$

(iii) For any $z, w \in Dom(\varphi^{-1})$,

$$|\varphi^{-1}(z) - \varphi^{-1}(w)| \leq C_0 |z - w|. \tag{7}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is trivial and therefore will be omitted.

3.3 Yamada and Watanabe approximation technique

To deal with the Hölder continuity of the diffusion coefficient σ, we use Yamada and Watanabe approximation technique (see [28] or [13]). For each $\delta \in (1, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we define a continuous function $\psi_{\delta,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with *supp* $\psi_{\delta,\varepsilon} \subset [\varepsilon/\delta, \varepsilon]$ such that

$$\int_{\varepsilon/\delta}^{\varepsilon} \psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z) dz = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z) \leq \frac{2}{z \log \delta}, \quad z > 0.$$

Since $\int_{\varepsilon/\delta}^{\varepsilon} \frac{2}{z \log \delta} dz = 2$, there exists such a function $\psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}$. We define a function $\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(x) := \int_0^{|x|} \int_0^y \psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(z) dz dy.$$

It is easy to verify that $\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ has the following useful properties:

$$|x| \leq \varepsilon + \phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(x), \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{8}$$

$$0 \leq |\phi'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(x)| \leq 1, \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{9}$$

$$\phi''_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\pm|x|) = \psi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(|x|) \leq \frac{2}{|x| \log \delta} \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta, \varepsilon]}(|x|), \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{10}$$

From (7) and (8), for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$|X_t - X_t^{(n)}| \leq C_0 |Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}| \leq C_0 \left(\varepsilon + \phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}) \right). \tag{11}$$

Using Itô's formula, we have

$$\phi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_t - Y_t^{(n)}) = M_t^{n,\delta,\varepsilon} + I_t^{(n)} + J_t^{(n)}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t^{n,\delta,\varepsilon} &:= \int_0^t \phi'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}) \left\{ \varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right\} dW_s, \\
I_t^{(n)} &:= -\int_0^t \phi'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}) \left\{ \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})b(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi''(X_s^{(n)})\sigma^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right\} ds, \\
J_t^{(n)} &:= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \phi''_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}) \left| \varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will estimate $M_t^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}$, $I_t^{(n)}$ and $J_t^{(n)}$ under various assumptions on b and σ.

3.4 Proof of Theorem 2.3

We first consider $I_t^{(n)}$. Since $\varphi'' = -\frac{2b\varphi'}{\sigma^2}$,

$$|I_t^{(n)}| \leq \int_0^T \left| \phi'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_s - Y_s^{(n)})\varphi'(X_s^{(n)}) \right| \left| b(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) - \frac{b((X_s^{(n)}))\sigma^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})}{\sigma^2(X_s^{(n)})} \right| ds.$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.4 and estimate (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_t^{(n)}| &\leq K_\sigma^2 C_0 \int_0^T \left| b(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\sigma^2(X_s^{(n)}) - b((X_s^{(n)}))\sigma^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| ds \\
&\leq K_\sigma^2 C_0 \int_0^T \left\{ K_\sigma^2 \left| b(X_s^{(n)}) - b(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| + \|b\|_\infty \left| \sigma^2(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma^2(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| \right\} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Assumption 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_t^{(n)}| &\leq K_\sigma^4 C_0 \int_0^T \left\{ \left| b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| + \|b_H\|_\beta \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^\beta \right\} ds \\
&\quad + 2K_\sigma^3 \|b\|_\infty C_0 \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1/2+\alpha} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Now we estimate $J_t^{(n)}$. From (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_t^n &\leq \int_0^T \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|\log\delta} \left| \varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right|^2 ds \\
&\leq 3(J_T^{1,n} + J_T^{2,n} + J_T^{3,n}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_t^{1,n} := \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}| \log \delta} |\sigma(X_s)|^2 \left| \varphi'(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)}) \right|^2 ds,$$
$$J_t^{2,n} := \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}| \log \delta} |\varphi'(X_s^{(n)})|^2 \left| \sigma(X_s) - \sigma(X_s^{(n)}) \right|^2 ds,$$
$$J_t^{3,n} := \int_0^t \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}| \log \delta} |\varphi'(X_s^{(n)})|^2 \left| \sigma(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right|^2 ds.$$

From Lemma 3.4 (ii), φ' is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\|\varphi''\|_\infty$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_T^{1,n} &\leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty^2}{\log \delta} \int_0^T \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|} \left| X_s - X_s^{(n)} \right|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 \|\varphi''\|_\infty^2 C_0^2}{\log \delta} \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|) \left| Y_s - Y_s^{(n)} \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{4K_\sigma^6 C_0^4 \|b\|_\infty^2 T\varepsilon}{\log \delta} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and since σ is $(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha)$-Hölder continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_T^{2,n} &\leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 C_0^2}{\log \delta} \int_0^T \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|)}{|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|} \left| X_s - X_s^{(n)} \right|^{1+2\alpha} ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 C_0^{3+2\alpha}}{\log \delta} \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon/\delta,\varepsilon]}(|Y_s - Y_s^{(n)}|) \left| Y_s - Y_s^{(n)} \right|^{2\alpha} ds \\ &\leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 C_0^{3+2\alpha} T\varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{\log \delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$J_T^{3,n} \leq \frac{K_\sigma^2 C_0^2 \delta}{\varepsilon \log \delta} \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1+2\alpha} ds. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, from (11), (12), (13), (14), (15) and (16), for any stopping time $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}| \leq C_0\varepsilon + C_0 M_\tau^{n,\delta,\varepsilon} \\
&+ K_\sigma^4 C_0^2 \int_0^T \left\{ \left| b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| + \|b_H\|_\beta \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^\beta \right\} ds \\
&+ 2K_\sigma^3 \|b\|_\infty C_0^2 \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1/2+\alpha} ds \\
&+ \frac{12K_\sigma^6 C_0^5 \|b\|_\infty^2 T\varepsilon}{\log\delta} + \frac{3K_\sigma^2 C_0^{4+2\alpha} T \varepsilon^{2\alpha}}{\log\delta} + \frac{3K_\sigma^2 C_0^3 \delta}{\varepsilon \log\delta} \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1+2\alpha} ds. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that since ϕ', φ' and σ are bounded, $(M_t^{n,\delta,\varepsilon})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is martingale, so expectation of $M_\tau^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}$ equals to zero.

If $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$, then by choosing $\varepsilon = n^{-1/2}$ and $\delta = 2$, the estimate (17) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}| \leq \frac{C_0}{n^{1/2}} + C_0 M_\tau^{n,2,n^{-1/2}} \\
&+ K_\sigma^4 C_0^2 \int_0^T \left\{ \left| b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| + \|b_H\|_\beta \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^\beta \right\} ds \\
&+ 2K_\sigma^3 \|b\|_\infty C_0^2 \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1/2+\alpha} ds \\
&+ \frac{12K_\sigma^6 C_0^5 \|b\|_\infty^2 T}{n^{1/2}\log 2} + \frac{3K_\sigma^2 C_0^{4+2\alpha} T}{n^\alpha \log 2} + \frac{6K_\sigma^2 C_0^3 n^{1/2}}{\log 2} \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1+2\alpha} ds. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking an expectation in (18) it follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 with $q = 1$ that

$$
\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2} \wedge \alpha}}, \qquad (19)
$$

where

$$
C_1 = K_\sigma^6 C_0^5 \Big(1 + \frac{3T(1 + 4\|b\|_\infty^2)}{\log 2} + C(1 + T\|b_H\|_\beta + 2\|b\|_\infty T + \frac{6T}{\log 2}) \Big),
$$

where C is a constant depending on $\alpha, \beta, T, \|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}, K_\sigma, \|b\|_\infty$ and x_0. Note that C doesn't depend on $\|b\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})}$. This concludes (2) for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$.

If $\alpha = 0$, then by choosing $\varepsilon = (\log n)^{-1}$ and $\delta = n^{1/3}$, the estimate (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}| \leq{}& \frac{C_0}{\log n} + C_0 M_\tau^{n, n^{1/3}, (\log n)^{-1}} \\
&+ K_\sigma^4 C_0^2 \int_0^T \left\{ \left| b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}) \right| + \|b_H\|_\beta \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^\beta \right\} ds \\
&+ 2K_\sigma^3 \|b\|_\infty C_0^2 \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right|^{1/2} ds \\
&+ \frac{36 K_\sigma^6 C_0^5 \|b\|_\infty^2 T}{(\log n)^2} + \frac{9 K_\sigma^2 C_0^4 T}{\log n} + 9 K_\sigma^2 C_0^3 n^{1/3} \int_0^T \left| X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)} \right| ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By taking an expectation in (20), it follows from Lemma 3.1 and 3.3 with $q = 1$ that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \frac{C_2}{\log n}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$C_2 := K_\sigma^6 C_0^5 \Big(1 + 9T + C\big(1 + T\|b_H\|_\beta + 2T\|b\|_\infty + 36T\|b\|_\infty^2 + 9T\big) \Big),$$

where C is defined as in (19). This concludes (2) for $\alpha = 0$. Finally, the estimate (3) follows directly from (2) and Lemma 3.2 in [12]. $\square$

3.5 Proof of Theorem 2.4

The main idea of the proof is to approximate b by a sequence of functions $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and to apply Theorem 2.3 for solution of the SDE with drift coefficient b_m.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose a smooth function $g_m \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with support $[-(m+2), m+2]$ such that $g_m = 1$ on $[-m, m]$ and $0 \leq g_m(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\|g_m'\|_\infty \leq 1$.
We define $b_m := b g_m$. It is easy to verify that

- $\|b_m\|_\infty \leq \|b\|_\infty$;
- $b_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|b_m\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq (2m+2)\|b\|_\infty$;
- $b_A g_m \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|b_A g_m\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 3\|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- $b_H g_m \in H^\beta$ and $\|b_H g_m\|_\beta \leq 2\|b_H\|_\beta$ for all m.

Let $\overline{X}^m$ and $\overline{X}^{m,n}$ be a unique solution of SDE (1) with drift b_m and its Euler-Maruyama approximation, respectively. Then it holds that

$$\sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \Big\{ \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - \overline{X}_\tau^m|] + \mathbb{E}[|\overline{X}_\tau^{m,n} - X_\tau^{(n)}|] + \mathbb{E}[|\overline{X}_\tau^m - \overline{X}_\tau^{m,n}|] \Big\}. \tag{22}$$

From (19) and (21), it holds that

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}[|\overline{X}^m_\tau-\overline{X}^{m,n}_\tau|]\le\begin{cases}\dfrac{C_3e^{20K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{\log n} & \text{if } \alpha=0,\\ \dfrac{C_3e^{20K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha\in(0,1/2],\end{cases}\tag{23}$$

where $C_3\equiv C_3(x_0,K_\sigma,\|b\|_\infty,T,\alpha,\beta)$ is a finite constant which depends neither on n nor on m. On the other hand, for any stopping time $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$, it holds that

$$\{X_\tau\neq\overline{X}^m_\tau\}\subset\{\sup_{0\le t\le\tau}|X_t|\ge m\}\subset\left\{\sup_{0\le t\le\tau}\left|\int_0^t\sigma(X_s)dW_s\right|\ge m-|x_0|-\|b\|_\infty T\right\}.$$

Since $\langle\int_0^\cdot\sigma(X_s)dW_s\rangle_\tau\le K_\sigma^2T$ almost surely, from Proposition 6.8 in [25], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_\tau\neq\overline{X}^m_\tau)&\le2\exp\left(-\frac{(m-|x_0|-\|b\|_\infty T)^2}{2K_\sigma^2T}\right)\\&\le2\exp\left(\frac{(|x_0|+\|b\|_\infty T)^2}{2K_\sigma^2T}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{4K_\sigma^2T}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t|^2]\vee\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\overline{X}^m_t|^2]\le C_4:=3(x_0^2+T^2\|b\|_\infty^2+12K_\sigma^2T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau-\overline{X}^m_\tau|]\right)^2&=\left(\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau-\overline{X}^m_\tau|\mathbf{1}_{\{X_\tau\neq\overline{X}^m_\tau\}}]\right)^2\\&\le\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau-\overline{X}^m_\tau|^2]\mathbb{P}(X_\tau\neq\overline{X}^m_\tau)\\&\le C_5^2\exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{4K_\sigma^2T}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

where $C_5^2=4C_4\exp\left(\frac{(|x_0|+\|b\|_\infty T)^2}{2K_\sigma^2T}\right)$. In the same way, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}[|X^{(n)}_\tau-\overline{X}^{m,n}_\tau|]\right)^2\le C_5^2\exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{4K_\sigma^2T}\right).\tag{25}$$

If $\alpha\in(0,\frac{1}{2}]$, from (22), (23), (24) and (25), we have

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau-X^{(n)}_\tau|]\le C_3\frac{e^{20K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}+2C_5\exp\Big(-\frac{m^2}{8K_\sigma^2T}\Big).$$

Choose $m^2=8\big(\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha\big)K_\sigma^2T\log n$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{E}[|X_\tau-X^{(n)}_\tau|]\le\frac{C_3e^{C_6\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}+\frac{2C_5}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}},$$

where $C_6 = 40K_\sigma^3\|b\|_\infty\sqrt{2\big(\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha\big)T}$. This concludes (4) for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$.

If $\alpha = 0$, from (22), (23), (24) and (25), we have

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \frac{C_3 e^{20K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{\log n} + 2C_5 \exp\Big(-\frac{m^2}{8K_\sigma^2 T}\Big).$$

Choose $m^2 = 8K_\sigma^2 T\log(\log n)$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}[|X_\tau - X_\tau^{(n)}|] \leq \frac{C_3 e^{C_7\sqrt{\log(\log n)}}}{\log n} + \frac{2C_5}{\log n},$$

where $C_7 = 40\sqrt{2T}K_\sigma^3\|b\|_\infty$. This concludes(4) for $\alpha = 0$.

Finally, the estimate (5) follows directly from (4) and Lemma 3.2 in [12].

□

3.6 Proof of Theorem 2.6

Define $V_t := \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|$. To estimate the expectation of V_T, we need to estimate the expectation of $\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|$. Using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|\right] &\leq \sqrt{32}\mathbb{E}\left[\langle M^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}\rangle_T^{1/2}\right]\\ &= \sqrt{32}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \left|\phi'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(Y_t - Y_t^{(n)})\right|^2 \left|\varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\|\phi'\|_\infty \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|\right]\\ &\leq \sqrt{96}K_\sigma\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \left|\varphi'(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right] + \sqrt{96}C_0\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X_s) - \sigma(X_s^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right]\\ &\quad + \sqrt{96}C_0\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \left|\sigma(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since σ is Hölder continuous and φ' is Lipschitz, $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|\right]$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{96}K_\sigma\|\varphi''\|_\infty\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|^2ds\right)^{1/2}\right]+\sqrt{96}C_0K_\sigma\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha}ds\right)^{1/2}\right]\\
&+\sqrt{96}C_0K_\sigma\left(\int_0^T\mathbb{E}\big[|X_s^{(n)}-X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}|^{1+2\alpha}\big]ds\right)^{1/2}\\
&\leq\tilde{c}_1\mathbb{E}\left[A_T^{(n)}\right]+\tilde{c}_2\mathbb{E}\left[B_T^{(n)}\right]+\frac{\tilde{c}_3}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}},
\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{c}_1:=\sqrt{96}K_\sigma\|\varphi''\|_\infty$, $\tilde{c}_2:=\sqrt{96}C_0K_\sigma$, $\tilde{c}_3:=\sqrt{96CT}C_0K_\sigma$,

$$A_T^{(n)}:=\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|^2ds\right)^{1/2}\quad\text{and}\quad B_T^{(n)}:=\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha}ds\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|\leq V_T^{(n)}$ for any $s\in[0,T]$, by using Young's inequality $xy\leq\frac{x^2}{4\tilde{c}_1C_0}+\tilde{c}_1C_0y^2$, we have

$$A_T^{(n)}\leq\left(V_T^{(n)}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|ds\right)^{1/2}\leq\frac{V_T^{(n)}}{4\tilde{c}_1C_0}+\tilde{c}_1C_0\int_0^T|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|ds.$$

Hence it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[A_T^{(n)}]\leq\frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}]}{4\tilde{c}_1C_0}+\tilde{c}_1C_0\int_0^T\mathbb{E}[|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|]ds.\tag{27}$$

Next we estimate the expectation of $B_T^{(n)}$ and $V_T^{(n)}$.

For $\alpha\in(0,1/2]$, by using Young's inequality $xy\leq\frac{x^2}{4\tilde{c}_2C_0}+\tilde{c}_2C_0y^2$, we have

$$B_T^{(n)}\leq\left(V_T^{(n)}\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\left|X_s-X_s^{(n)}\right|^{2\alpha}ds\right)^{1/2}\leq\frac{V_T^{(n)}}{4\tilde{c}_2C_0}+\tilde{c}_2C_0\int_0^T|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|^{2\alpha}ds.$$

Hence it holds from Jensen's inequality that

$$\mathbb{E}[B_T^{(n)}]\leq\frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}]}{4\tilde{c}_2C_0}+\tilde{c}_2C_0\int_0^T\mathbb{E}[|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|]^{2\alpha}ds.\tag{28}$$

Therefore from (26), (27) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq T}|M_s^{n,2,n^{-1/2}}|\right]\leq&\frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}]}{2C_0}+\tilde{c}_1^2C_0\int_0^T\mathbb{E}[|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|]ds\\
&+\tilde{c}_2^2C_0\int_0^T\mathbb{E}[|X_s-X_s^{(n)}|]^{2\alpha}ds+\frac{\tilde{c}_3}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (19) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le s\le T}|M_s^{n,2,n^{-1/2}}|\right] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}]}{2C_0} + \frac{(\tilde{c}_1^2+\tilde{c}_2^2)TC_0C_1}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} + \frac{\tilde{c}_3}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}}.$$

This fact together with (18) and (19) implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}] \le \frac{2(\tilde{c}_1^2+\tilde{c}_2^2)TC_0^2C_1}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} + \frac{2\tilde{c}_3C_0}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}} + \frac{2C_1}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}.$$

Since $1/4+\alpha/2 \ge 2\alpha^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}] \le \frac{C_8}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}}, \tag{29}$$

where $C_8 := 2(\tilde{c}_1^2+\tilde{c}_2^2)TC_0^2C_1 + 2\tilde{c}_3C_0 + 2C_1$.

For $\alpha = 0$, by Jensen's inequality we have

$$\mathbb{E}[B_T^{(n)}] \le \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|\right] ds\right)^{1/2} \le \frac{\sqrt{C_2T}}{\sqrt{\log n}}. \tag{30}$$

Therefore from (26), (27) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le s\le T}\left|M_s^{n,n^{1/3},(\log n)^{-1}}\right|\right] &\le \frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T]}{4C_0} + \tilde{c}_1^2C_0\int_0^T \mathbb{E}[|X_s - X_s^{(n)}|]ds + \frac{\tilde{c}_2\sqrt{C_2T}}{\sqrt{\log n}} + \frac{\tilde{c}_3}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}}\\ &\le \frac{\mathbb{E}[V_T]}{4C_0} + \frac{\tilde{c}_1^2C_0C_2T}{\log n} + \frac{\tilde{c}_2\sqrt{C_2T}}{\sqrt{\log n}} + \frac{\tilde{c}_3}{n^{1/4+\alpha/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

This fact together with estimates (20) and (21) implies that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T^{(n)}] \le \frac{4\tilde{c}_1^2C_0^2C_2T}{3\log n} + \frac{4C_0\tilde{c}_2\sqrt{C_2T}}{3\sqrt{\log n}} + \frac{4C_0\tilde{c}_3}{3n^{1/4+\alpha/2}} + \frac{4C_2}{3\log n} \le \frac{C_9}{\sqrt{\log n}}, \tag{31}$$

where $C_9 := \frac{4}{3}(\tilde{c}_1^2C_0^2C_2T + C_0\tilde{c}_2\sqrt{C_2T} + C_0\tilde{c}_3 + C_2)$. Hence we finish the proof of Theorem 2.6. □

3.7 Proof of Theorem 2.7

We denote $b_m, \overline{X}^m$ and $\overline{X}^{m,n}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.4. Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - X_t^{(n)}|]\\ &\le \left\{\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - \overline{X}_t^m|] + \mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\overline{X}_t^{m,n} - X_t^{(n)}|] + \mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\overline{X}_t^m - \overline{X}_t^{m,n}|]\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From (29) and (31), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\overline{X}_t^m - \overline{X}_t^{m,n}|] \le \begin{cases} \dfrac{C_{10}e^{72K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{\sqrt{\log n}} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \dfrac{C_{10}e^{72K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1/2], \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

where $C_{10} \equiv C_{10}(x_0, K_\sigma, \|b\|_\infty, T, \alpha, \beta)$ is a finite constant which depends neither on n nor on m.

Since $\{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - \overline{X}_t^m| \neq 0\} \subset \{\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t| \ge m\}$, it follows from a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - \overline{X}_t^m|]\right)^2 \le C_5^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{4K_\sigma^2 T}\right), \tag{34}$$

where $C_5^2 = 4C_4 \exp\left(\frac{(|x_0|+\|b\|_\infty T)^2}{2K_\sigma^2 T}\right)$. In the same way, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t^{(n)} - \overline{X}_t^{m,n}|]\right)^2 \le C_5^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m^2}{4K_\sigma^2 T}\right). \tag{35}$$

If $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, from (32), (33), (34) and (35), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - X_t^{(n)}|] \le C_{10}\frac{e^{72K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{n^{\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}} + 2C_5 \exp\Big(-\frac{m^2}{8K_\sigma^2 T}\Big).$$

Choose $m^2 = 8\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)K_\sigma^2 T \log n$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - X_t^{(n)}|] \le \frac{C_{10}e^{C_{11}\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}} + \frac{2C_5}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}},$$

where $C_{11} = 144K_\sigma^3\|b\|_\infty\sqrt{2T\alpha(\beta\wedge 2\alpha)}$. This concludes the statement for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$.

If $\alpha = 0$, from (32), (33), (34) and (35), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - X_t^{(n)}|] \le \frac{C_{10}e^{72K_\sigma^2\|b\|_\infty m}}{\sqrt{\log n}} + 2C_5 \exp\Big(-\frac{m^2}{8K_\sigma^2 T}\Big).$$

Choose $m^2 = 8K_\sigma^2 T\log(\log n)$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|X_t - X_t^{(n)}|] \le \frac{C_3 e^{C_{12}\sqrt{\log(\log n)}}}{\sqrt{\log n}} + \frac{2C_5}{\sqrt{\log n}},$$

where $C_{12} = 144\sqrt{2T}K_\sigma^3\|b\|_\infty$. This concludes the statement for $\alpha = 0$.

3.8 Proof of Theorem 2.8

To prove Theorem 2.8, we need the following Gronwall type inequality.

Lemma 3.5 ([13] Lemma 3.2.)**.** *Let $(Z_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a nonnegative continuous stochastic process and set $V_t := \sup_{s\leq t} Z_s$. Assume that for some $r > 0$, $q \geq 1$, $\rho \in [1, q]$ and some constants $\overline{C}_0$ and $\xi \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[V_t^r] \leq \overline{C}_0 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t V_s ds\right)^r\right] + \overline{C}_0 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t Z_s^\rho ds\right)^{r/q}\right] + \xi < \infty$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Then for each $T \geq 0$ the following statements hold.
(i) If $\rho = q$ then there exists a constant $\overline{C}_1$ depending on $\overline{C}_0, T, q$ and r such that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T^r] \leq \overline{C}_1 \xi.$$

(ii) If $r \geq q$ or $q+1-\rho < r < q$ hold, then there exists constant $\overline{C}_2$ depending on $\overline{C}_0, T, \rho, q$ and r, such that

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T^r] \leq \overline{C}_2 \xi + \overline{C}_2 \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[Z_s] ds.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Throughout this proof, the letter K denotes some positive constant whose value can change from line to line. The constant K may depend on K_σ, $\|b_A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, $\|b_H\|_\beta$, $\|b\|_\infty$, $\|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$, T, x_0, α and β but it does not depend on n. We will use again the estimates (11) and (12). Let us first consider the expectation of $\sup_{0\leq s\leq T} |M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|^p$. Using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality, for any $t \in [0, T]$, $\delta \in (1, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} |M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|^p\right] \leq K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|\varphi'(X_s)\sigma(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right].$$

Note that for any bounded Lipschitz continuous function g with Lipschitz constant $L > 0$, it holds that

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}, x\neq y} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \leq (2\|g\|_\infty)^{1-\gamma} L^\gamma, \text{ for any } \gamma \in (0, 1]. \tag{36}$$

Since σ is bounded and $1/2 + \alpha$-Hölder continuous, ϕ' is bounded by 1 and φ', φ'' are bounded, by using (36) for $g = \varphi'$ with $\gamma = 1/2 + \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le s\le t} |M_s^{n,\delta,\varepsilon}|^p\right] &\le K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|\varphi'(X_s) - \varphi'(X_s^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right] \\
&\quad + K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|\sigma(X_s) - \sigma(X_s^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right] \\
&\quad + K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|\sigma(X_s^{(n)}) - \sigma(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right] \\
&\le K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha} ds\right)^{p/2}\right] + K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T \left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha} ds\right)^{p/2}\right] \\
&\le K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha} ds\right)^{p/2}\right] + \frac{K}{n^{p/4+p\alpha/2}}. \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$, it follows from (18) that for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p \le & K\Bigg\{\frac{1}{n^{p/2}} + \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left|M_s^{n,2,n^{-1/2}}\right|^p + \int_0^T \left\{\left|b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^p + K\left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{p\beta}\right\} ds \\
& + \int_0^T \left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{p/2+p\alpha} ds + \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} + \frac{1}{n^{p\alpha}} + n^{p/2}\int_0^T \left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{p+2p\alpha} ds\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (38)$$

By taking the expectation on (38), from Lemma 3.1 and 3.3, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \le K\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\le s\le t}\left|M_s^{n,2,n^{-1/2}}\right|^p\right] + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha}}. \qquad (39)$$

Since $\alpha \le 1/4 + \alpha/2$, from (37) and (39), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_s^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \le K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha} ds\right)^{p/2}\right] + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha}}. \qquad (40)$$

If $\alpha = 1/2$, from Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \le K\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|^p\right] ds + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}}} \le K\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_s^{(n)}\right|^p\right] ds + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}}}.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_s^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \leq \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}}}. \tag{41}$$

If $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, then from (40), for any $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_s^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \leq K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t V_s^{(n)} ds\right)^p\right] + K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|^{1+2\alpha} ds\right)^{p/2}\right] + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha}}.$$

Using Lemma 3.5-(ii) with $r = p$, $q = 2$, $\rho = 1 + 2\alpha$, $\xi = Kn^{-(\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha)}$ and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \leq \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha}} + K\int_0^t \left[\left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|\right] ds \leq \frac{K}{n^{\frac{1}{2}\wedge\frac{p\beta}{2}\wedge p\alpha}} + \frac{K}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}} \leq \frac{K}{n^{\frac{\beta}{2}\wedge\alpha}}. \tag{42}$$

This concludes the case of $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$.

For $\alpha = 0$, it follows from (20) that for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p \leq K\Bigg\{ & \frac{1}{(\log n)^p} + \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|M_s^{n,n^{1/3},(\log n)^{-1}}\right|^p \\ & + \int_0^t \left\{\left|b_A(X_s^{(n)}) - b_A(X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)})\right|^p + K^p\left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{p\beta}\right\} ds \\ & + \int_0^t \left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^{p/2} ds + \frac{1}{(\log n)^{2p}} \\ & + \frac{1}{(\log n)^p} + n^{p/3}\int_0^T \left|X_s^{(n)} - X_{\eta_n(s)}^{(n)}\right|^p ds\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

By taking the expectation on (43), from Lemma 3.1 and 3.3, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \leq K\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\left|M_s^{n,n^{1/3},(\log n)^{-1}}\right|^p\right] + \frac{K}{(\log n)^p}. \tag{44}$$

From (37) and (44), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_t^{(n)}\right|^p\right] \leq K\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right| ds\right)^{p/2}\right] + \frac{K}{(\log n)^p}.$$

Using Lemma 3.5-(ii) with $r = p$, $q = 2$, $\rho = 1$, $\xi = K(\log n)^{-p}$ and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|V_T^{(n)}\right|^p\right] &\leq \frac{K}{(\log n)^p} + K\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_s - X_s^{(n)}\right|\right] ds \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\log n}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

This concludes the case for $\alpha = 0$. □

Remark 3.6. Note that it is hardly possible to obtain a L^p bound for the error if we remove the condition $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by following the method used in the proofs of Theorem 2.4 and 2.7 since a careful tracking of constants K will show that the constants K in (41), (42) and (45) increase with the order of double exponent with respect to $\|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$. This makes the localization technique for b not applicable.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Arturo Kohatsu-Higa for his helpful comments. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.03-2014.14. The second author was supported by KOKUSAITEKI Research Fund of Ritsumeikan University and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16J00894.

References

[1] Akahori, J. and Imamura, Y.: On a symmetrization of diffusion processes. Quantitative Finance 14(7) 1211–1216 (2014).

[2] Ambrosio, L., Fusco, N., and Pallara, D.: Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems (Vol. 254). Oxford: Clarendon Press (2000).

[3] Bally, V. and Talay, D.: The law of the Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations. Probability theory and related fields, 104(1), 43-60 (1996).

[4] Bao, J. and Yuan, C.: Convergence rate of EM scheme for SDDEs. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 141(9), 3231-3243 (2013).

[5] Beneš, V. E., Shepp, L. A. and Witsenhausen, H. S.: Some Solvable Stochastic Control Problems. Stochastics 4, 39–83, (1980).

[6] Berkaoui, A., Bossy, M., and Diop, A. Euler scheme for SDEs with non-Lipschitz diffusion coefficient: strong convergence. ESAIM Probab. Stat. 12 (2008), 1–11 (electronic).

[7] Chan, K.S. and Stramer, O.: Weak Consistency of the Euler Method for Numerically Solving Stochastic Dierential Equations with Discontinuous Coefficient. Stochastic Process. Appl. 76, 33–44 (1998).

[8] Chassagneux, J. F., Jacquier, A., and Mihaylov, I.: An explicit Euler scheme with strong rate of convergence for financial SDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients. arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.3561 (2014).

[9] Cherny, A. and Engelbert, H-J.: Singular Stochastic Differential Equations. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1858. Springer (2005).

[10] Giles, M.B.: Multilevel Monte Carlo path simulation. Oper. Res. 56, 607–617 (2008).

[11] Gyöngy, I.: A note on Euler's approximations. Potential Anal. 8, 205–216 (1998)

[12] Gyöngy, I. and Krylov, N.V.: On the Rate of Convergence of Splitting-up Approximations for SPDEs. Progress in Probability, 56, 301– 321 (2003).

[13] Gyöngy, I. and Rásonyi, M.: A note on Euler approximations for SDEs with Hölder continuous diffusion coefficients. Stochastic. Process. Appl. 121, 2189–2200 (2011).

[14] Hairer, M., Hutzenthaler, M. and Jentzen, A.: Loss of regularity for Kolmogorov equation. Ann. Probab. 43(2), 468–527 (2015).

[15] Halidias, N. and Kloeden, P.E.: A note on the Euler-Maruyama scheme for stochastic differential equations with a discontinuous monotone drift coefficient. BIT 48(1) 51–59 (2008).

[16] Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P. E.: Strong convergence of an explicit numerical method for SDEs with nonglobally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. The Annals of Applied Probability, 22(4), 1611-1641 (2012).

[17] Jentzen, A., Müller-Gronbach, T. and Yaroslavtseva, L.: On stochastic differential equations with arbitrary slow convergence rates for strong approximation. arXiv:1506.02828v1 (2015).

[18] Kloeden, P. and Platen, E.: Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations. Springer (1995).

[19] Kohatsu-Higa, A., Makhlouf, A. and Ngo, H-L.: Approximations of non-smooth integral type functionals of one dimensional diffusion processes. Stochastic. Process. Appl. 124 (5) 1881–1909 (2014).

[20] Krylov, N.V. and Röckner, M.: Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift. Probab. Theory Related Fields. 131, 154–196 (2005).

[21] Leobacher, G. and Szölgyenyi M.: A numerical method for SDEs with discontinuous drift. BIT Numer. Math. 56 (1), 151–162 (2016).

[22] Menoukeu Pamen, O. and Taguchi, D.: Strong rate of convergence for the Euler-Maruyama approximation of SDEs with Hölder continuous drift coefficient. Preprint, arXiv:1508.07513 (2015).

[23] Ngo, H-L. and Luong, D-T.: Strong Rate of Tamed Euler-Maruyama Approximation for Stochastic Differential Equations with Hölder Continuous Diffusion Coefficient. To appear in Brazilian Journal of Probability and Statistics (2015).

[24] Ngo, H-L., and Taguchi, D.: Strong rate of convergence for the Euler-Maruyama approximation of stochastic differential equations with irregular coefficients. Math. Comp. 85, 300 (2016), 1793–1819.

[25] Shigekawa, I.: Stochastic analysis. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 224. Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[26] Stroock, D. W. and Varadhan, R. S.: Diffusion processes with continuous coefficients, I, II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22, 345-400; 479-530 (1969).

[27] Veretennikov, A.Yu.: On strong solution and explicit formulas for solutions of stochastic integral equations. Math. USSR Sb. 39, 387– 403 (1981).

[28] Yamada, T. and Watanabe, S.: On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11, 155-167 (1971).

[29] Yan, B. L.: The Euler scheme with irregular coefficients. Ann. Probab. 30, no. 3, 1172–1194 (2002).

[30] Zvonkin, A. K.: A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that removes the drift. Math. USSR Sbornik, 22, 129-148 (1974).